Propionaldehyde infrared cross-sections and band strengths
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A B S T R A C T

The use of oxygenated biofuels reduces the greenhouse gas emissions; however, they also result in increased toxic aldehyde by-products, mainly formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acrolein, and propionaldehyde. These aldehydes are carcinogenic and/or toxic and therefore it is important to understand their formation and destruction pathways in combustion and atmospheric systems. Accurate information about their infrared cross-sections and integrated strengths are crucially needed for development of quantitative detection schemes and modeling tools. Critical to the development of such diagnostics are accurate characterization of the absorption features of these species. In this study, the gas phase infrared spectra of propionaldehyde (also called propanal, CH₃–CH₂–CHO), a saturated three carbon aldehyde found in the exhaust emissions of biodiesel or diesel fuels, was studied using high resolution Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy over the wavenumber range of 750–3300 cm⁻¹ and at room temperature 295 K. The absorption cross sections of propionaldehyde were recorded at resolutions of 0.08 and 0.096 cm⁻¹ and at seven different pressures (4–33 Torr). The calculated band-strengths were reported and the integrated band intensity results were compared with values taken from the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (PNNL) database (showing less than 2% discrepancy). The peak positions of the 19 different vibrational bands of propionaldehyde were also compared with previous studies taken at a lower resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. To the best of our knowledge, the current FTIR measurements provide the first highest resolution infrared cross section data for propionaldehyde.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Over the last decade, researchers have examined a variety of biofuels – nearly all of them are oxygenated hydrocarbons – that can be readily blended with fossil fuels [1–4]. However, increased biofuel usage has been linked with increased photochemical smog, cancer mortality, and air pollution concerns due to oxygenated emissions [5–13] and could contribute to more than two million premature mortalities in the world every year [14]. Carbonyl compounds (formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acrolein, propionaldehyde, and butanone) are listed as hazardous air pollutants (HAP) by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA report) [15]. Formaldehyde (CH₂O), acetaldehyde (CH₃CHO), acrolein (C₃H₄O or C₂H₃CHO), and propionaldehyde (C₃H₅CHO) are reported to be the most abundant aldehydes in the exhaust emissions of biodiesel or diesel fuels [16–20].

There are several chemical kinetic studies [21–23] that compare the modeling and experimental results for the concentration of major and minor species of combustion of
biofuel surrogates. Although the mole fraction profiles of major combustion reaction products (H2O, CO2, CO, etc.) and hydrocarbons are reasonably approximated by these mechanisms, the modeling results for the aldehydes do not match with the experimentally obtained values. In addition, the diagnostic methods used in some studies [22] cannot distinguish between acrolein and propionaldehyde and thus give the results as a sum of the two species.

Although there are recently developed laser absorption schemes in the literature [24] for the detection of the formaldehyde and acetaldehyde in a shock tube combustion reaction, there is no study to the best of our knowledge on the interference free detection of acrolein or propionaldehyde using laser absorption spectroscopy in a combustion environment. Critical to the development of such diagnostics is the accurate characterization of the absorption features of these aldehydes. The absorption cross section of acrolein, propionaldehyde, and acetaldehyde are available in the PNNL database at atmospheric pressure and at three different temperatures (5, 25, and 50 °C) [25]. The formaldehyde spectrum can be obtained from the HITRAN database [26]. Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) show the spectra for these four species at 296 K in 1650–1850 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2600–3100 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. These four aldehydes share the same carbonyl group C=O vibrational stretching which gives rise to the absorption transition between 1710 and 1740 cm\(^{-1}\). In addition, the common (C–H) bond found in the aldehydes results in transitions between 2690 and 2840 cm\(^{-1}\). During combustion of fuels, other intermediates also form and they also have absorption features in the infrared (IR) region. Fig. 1(c) shows the spectra of CO2, H2O, and CO within 1650–3100 cm\(^{-1}\). Although, neither CO2 nor CO has any common features with the aldehydes in the mid IR, H2O is a possible interfering species in the development of laser absorption scheme for the aldehydes. Therefore, it is important to accurately determine the spectral parameters such as absorption cross sections, line strengths, and broadening coefficients of the aldehydes so that calibration free sensors could be developed for the species of interest.

In the literature, there are some studies on the microwave [27,28] and infrared [29–33] spectra of propionaldehyde. The fundamental vibrational band assignments were conducted for normal propionaldehyde (propanaldehyde-d0) as well as its three isotopomers (propanaldehyde-d1, d2, and d5). One of the most recent studies was conducted by Guirgis et al. [29] in which they recorded the mid IR spectrum of propionaldehyde from 400 to 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) at resolution of 1 cm\(^{-1}\) and the far IR spectrum of propionaldehyde from 30 to 360 cm\(^{-1}\) at resolution of 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\). They reported the 24 fundamental vibrational bands and also indicated the discrepancies with previous studies in the assignments of 6 of the fundamentals. Also, they performed ab initio calculations and reassigned some of these bands with the help of the IR and Raman data taken for gaseous, liquid, and solid propionaldehyde.

In this study a Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer (FTIR) is used to determine the absorption cross section of propionaldehyde as well as its band strengths in the wavenumber regions between 750 and 3300 cm\(^{-1}\). In order to accurately determine the cross section of propionaldehyde, the measurements were carried out at room temperature (295 K) and at seven different pressures (6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 22, and 33 Torr). The absorption cross section was recorded at resolutions of 0.08 and 0.096 cm\(^{-1}\) for the wavenumber regions from 750 to 1900 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1900 to 3300 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The results were compared with the spectral data of PNNL database taken at a temperature (295 K) and resolution of 0.112 cm\(^{-1}\) [25]. The integrated band intensities were calculated to compare the current study results with the PNNL database. The current study results also give the 19 fundamental frequencies that fall within 750–3300 cm\(^{-1}\) and the assignments shown here are based on the previous works of Guirgis et al. [29].

2. Experimental setup and procedure

The absorption spectra of propionaldehyde was recorded over the wavenumber range of 750–3300 cm\(^{-1}\) using a vacuum bench Bomem DA8 Fourier Transform Infrared spectrometer (see for details, Ref. [34]). Fig. 2 shows the schematic of the current FTIR setup. The spectrometer has a maximum resolution of 0.017 cm\(^{-1}\). In this study the highest useful resolution was 0.08 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the relatively broad linewidth feature of the analyte [25]. The FTIR was configured as described in Table 1. A potassium bromide (KBr) beam splitter was used with the globar light source to make the measurements along a 10 cm path length stainless steel cell with NaCl windows.

![Absorption spectra of (a), (b) aldehydes: acrolein (C3H4CHO), propionaldehyde (C3H6CHO), formaldehyde (CH2O), and acetaldehyde (CH3CHO); and (c) interfering species: carbon dioxide (CO2), water (H2O), and carbon monoxide (CO). Data is taken from PNNL and HITRAN databases.](image)
co2 lines divided out, so that they were not observed in the aldehyde absorption cross section. Interference from H2O into account in determining the uncertainty of propionaldehyde absorption cross section and the band strength. To account for temperature (295 K) to accurately determine the absorption parameters.

To compare the current study results with those from PNNL [25], the dependence of absorption coefficient on temperature and pressure must be eliminated. Therefore, the absorption cross-section $\sigma_{\nu}$ [cm$^2$/molecule] is used for comparison purposes, which can be obtained through the knowledge of $\beta_{\nu}$ as follows:

\[
\sigma_{\nu} = \left( \frac{T}{273.15} \right) \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{N}
\]

where $T$ [K] is the temperature of the gas and $N$ = 2.6867 $\times$ 10$^{19}$ [molecule/cm$^3$ atm] is the Loschmidt number at standard temperature (273.15 K) and pressure (1 atm).

The PNNL data was recorded at a total pressure of 1 atm with 10 different propionaldehyde mole fractions in pure N$_2$ at 296 K [25]. The optical path length of the cell was 100 cm. These values were used in Eqs. (1) and (3) to obtain the $\sigma_{\nu}$ values of propionaldehyde from PNNL. In this study, the absorption spectrum was recorded at seven different pressures to accurately determine the absorption

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FTIR configuration for the measurements of Propionaldehyde spectroscopic parameters.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamsplitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTIR input aperture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lightsource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical path length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zero-filling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure gauge</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An MCT detector was used for the spectral measurements. With these resources, the wavenumber range was 400–6500 cm$^{-1}$. Pressure in the sample cell was monitored using a Baratron capacitance gauge (1000 Torr full-scale range, 0.05% uncertainty). The manifold and the sample cell, located inside the FTIR compartment, were evacuated to less than 1 Torr with a turbo molecular pump system (Edwards T11213302) before the start of every experiment. The FTIR chamber was evacuated to pressures of less than 0.1 Torr with a rotary vane pump in order to minimize the background by atmospheric air. The average leak rate of the cell was 3.0 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ Torr/min. The spectral measurements were carried out at seven different pressures (6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 22, and 33 Torr) and at room temperature (295 K) to accurately determine the absorption cross section and the band strength. To account for the spectral drift in the data, reference scans were taken before and after the transmission data. This drift was taken into account in determining the uncertainty of propionaldehyde absorption cross section. Interference from H$_2$O or CO$_2$ lines divided out, so that they were not observed in the measured transmittance spectra.

A spectrometer aperture size of 3.5 mm was chosen for the 0.096 cm$^{-1}$ resolution scans to obtain the desired spectral resolution with adequate signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). The data within the regions of 750–1900 cm$^{-1}$ and 1900–3300 cm$^{-1}$ were taken at 0.08, and 0.096 cm$^{-1}$ resolution, respectively. The interferograms were zero filled by a factor of 2 for the 0.096 cm$^{-1}$ resolution scans and by a factor of 4 for the 0.08 cm$^{-1}$ resolution scans to obtain smoother line profiles. The high resolution spectra were taken at these spectral regions because all the aldehydes have strongly absorbing features due to their common C–O and C–H stretching. High purity propionaldehyde (> 99.6%), supplied by Fisher Scientific, was used in this study. Samples were prepared by transferring the propionaldehyde into a glass test tube that was connected to the all-stainless-steel gas-handling manifold via a Swagelok Ultra-Torr vacuum fitting.

### 3. Data analysis

#### 3.1. Absorption cross-section of propionaldehyde

The rotational and vibrational transitions of the gas molecules through absorption of the incident light at frequency, $\nu$ follow the Beer Lambert law given by

\[
-\ln \left( \frac{I}{I_0} \right) = \frac{S\phi_{\nu}PL}{\beta_{\nu}P} = \alpha_{\nu}
\]

where $I$ and $I_0$ are the spectral intensity of the light passing through the analyte propionaldehyde and the empty cell, respectively; $S$ [cm$^2$ atm$^{-1}$] is the line strength; $\phi_{\nu}$ [cm$^{-1}$] is the frequency-dependent lineshape function; $\beta_{\nu}$ [cm$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$] is the frequency-dependent absorption coefficient; $P$ [atm] is the pressure of the gas inside the cell; $L$ [cm] is the optical path length; and $\alpha_{\nu}$ is the absorbance.

The integrated absorbance removes the normalized contribution of the lineshape and thus reduces Eq. (1) to

\[
A = \int \alpha_{\nu} d\nu = S_{band}PL
\]

The integrated absorbance given by Eq. (2) was plotted in Fig. 4 for different bands of propionaldehyde to show the linearity of the integrated absorbance with the product, $PL$, and thus to obtain the $S_{band}$ through the slope of the linear fit.

#### 3.2. Comparison of current study results with PNNL database

To compare the current study results with those from PNNL [25], the dependence of absorption coefficient on temperature and pressure must be eliminated. Therefore, the absorption cross-section $\sigma_{\nu}$ [cm$^2$/molecule] is used for comparison purposes, which can be obtained through the knowledge of $\beta_{\nu}$ as follows:

\[
\sigma_{\nu} = \left( \frac{T}{273.15} \right) \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{N}
\]
cross sections \( \sigma_c \). A data reduction scheme described by Sharpe et al. [25] was used to report the PNNL database and subsequently was implemented in the current work to compare our results with those of PNNL. In this scheme, the recorded absorbance \( A \) from each different pressure measurement was plotted against the burden \( P_L \), defined as the pressure \( P \) multiplied by the optical path length \( L \). A weighted least squares fit with zero intercept was then performed in which the data points with an absorbance of \( a_c \geq 1.6 \) were assigned a weight of zero. The slope of the fit was the absorption coefficient \( \beta_r \) given in Eq. (1). The weighting scheme favors higher burden measurements for weakly absorbing features and lower burden measurements for strongly absorbing features. The main reason for applying this scheme was to remove the transmission data points which show saturation at certain wavenumbers due to the strong absorption of propionaldehyde. The overall effect of this fit is to reduce the inherent nonlinearities of the FTIR measurements and also to improve the SNR.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Absorption cross-section and vibrational assignments

Fig. 3 shows the current study results of the absorption cross-section of propionaldehyde (\( \text{CH}_3\text{CH}=\text{CHO} \)) as well as the vibrational assignments for different spectral regions of interest at room temperature (295 K). Fig. 3 also includes the data of PNNL taken at 296 K for comparison purposes. The spectra shown in Fig. 3(a–c) were recorded at 0.08 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution, whereas Fig. 3(d) data was recorded at 0.096 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution. Fig. 3 demonstrates that the discrepancy between the current study results and PNNL database is very small. The exact difference is given in the next section; however, it is clear from the figure that the sharp rovibrational Q transitions have higher absorption cross sections in the current study than those given by PNNL database. The difference becomes more pronounced especially for \( \nu_7, \nu_{13}, \) and \( \nu_{16} \) transitions. This result is attributed to the fact that the spectral lines are better resolved in the present study. Propionaldehyde shows strong absorption bands in the mid IR region. Various vibrational modes of propionaldehyde given in Fig. 3 were based on the assignments of previous study by Guirgis et al. [29] which recorded the spectrum at 1 cm\(^{-1}\).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band Fundamental wavenumber [cm(^{-1})] (Guirgis et al.)</th>
<th>Fundamental wavenumber [cm(^{-1})] (current study)</th>
<th>Vibrational assignment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_1 ) (( \alpha )') 2981</td>
<td>2980.83</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 ) antisymmetric stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_2 ) (( \alpha )') 2914</td>
<td>2913.88</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2 ) symmetric stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_3 ) (( \alpha )') 2905</td>
<td>2905.80</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 ) symmetric stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_4 ) (( \alpha )') 2818</td>
<td>2817.60</td>
<td>CH stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_5 ) (( \alpha )') 1754</td>
<td>1753.57</td>
<td>C–O stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_6 ) (( \alpha )') 1467</td>
<td>1467.42</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 ) antisymmetric deformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_7 ) (( \alpha )') 1423</td>
<td>1422.71</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2 ) deformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_8 ) (( \alpha )') 1395</td>
<td>1394.75</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 ) symmetric deformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_9 ) (( \alpha )') 1381</td>
<td>1380.49</td>
<td>CH bend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{10} ) (( \alpha )') 1339</td>
<td>1338.49</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2 ) wag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{11} ) (( \alpha )') 1098</td>
<td>1098.33</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 ) rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{12} ) (( \alpha )') 1009</td>
<td>1009.71</td>
<td>CCC antisymmetric stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{13} ) (( \alpha )') 849</td>
<td>849.14</td>
<td>CCC symmetric stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{14} ) (( \alpha )') 661</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>OCC bend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{15} ) (( \alpha )') 204.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>CCC bend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{16} ) (( \alpha )') 2092</td>
<td>2092.28</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 ) antisymmetric stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{17} ) (( \alpha )') 2954</td>
<td>2953.53</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2 ) antisymmetric stretch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{18} ) (( \alpha )') 1459</td>
<td>1458.87</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 ) antisymmetric deformation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{19} ) (( \alpha )') 1250</td>
<td>1249.96</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2 ) twist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{20} ) (( \alpha )') 1127</td>
<td>1127.29</td>
<td>C–H bend</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{21} ) (( \alpha )') 892</td>
<td>891.95</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_3 ) rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{22} ) (( \alpha )') 658</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>( \text{CH}_2 ) rock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{23} ) (( \alpha )') 219.9</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>Methyl torsion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{24} ) (( \alpha )') 135.1</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>C(O)H torsion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. IR absorption spectra of propionaldehyde at 295 K in the regions of (a) 750–1300 cm\(^{-1}\), (b) 1300–1600 cm\(^{-1}\), (c) 1600–2400 cm\(^{-1}\), (d) 2400–3300 cm\(^{-1}\).
resolution using Fourier Transform Spectrometer from 400 to 3500 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\) resolution from 50 to 380 cm\(^{-1}\).

Propionaldehyde has 24 vibrational modes. Table 2 shows the positions of these fundamental bands and the vibrational assignments based on the results of the current study and those of Guirgis et al. [29]. There are mainly two vibrational modes of propionaldehyde which are common to all the aldehydes. These are the very strong C=O stretch and the strong C–H stretch. The very strong C=O stretch of propionaldehyde is assigned as the \(v_5\) band. It has the three main peaks (\(P, Q,\) and \(R\) rotational lines) and is centered at 1753.57 cm\(^{-1}\). The strong CH stretch of propionaldehyde is centered at 2817.60 cm\(^{-1}\) and is assigned as the \(v_4\) band. In the 750–1290 cm\(^{-1}\) spectral region, propionaldehyde has also a very strong CCC symmetric stretch assigned as the \(v_{13}\) band. In this region, there are other weaker vibrational modes, \(\nu_{21}, \nu_{12}, \nu_{11}, \nu_{26},\) and \(\nu_{19}\). In the 1290–1530 cm\(^{-1}\) spectral region, the relatively strong \(\nu_{7}\) band centered at 1422.71 cm\(^{-1}\) involves CH\(_2\) deformation. In the 2650–3050 cm\(^{-1}\) spectral range, there is a very strong CH\(_3\) antisymmetric stretch at 2992.28 cm\(^{-1}\). Note that the current study results do not include five of the fundamentals (\(\nu_{14}, \nu_{15}, \nu_{22}, \nu_{23},\) and \(\nu_{24}\)) that fall in the far IR region, because the MCT detector is unusable below 500 cm\(^{-1}\). Even between 500 and 750 cm\(^{-1}\) the SNR for the given spectrometer resources was relatively low. A lower resolution of ~0.25 cm\(^{-1}\) was needed to obtain adequate SNR below 500 cm\(^{-1}\). However, this range of data is not included here.

4.2. Integrated absorbance and band strengths of propionaldehyde and comparison with PNNL data

The absorption spectrum was divided into four different regions, (750–1300 cm\(^{-1}\)), (1300–1600 cm\(^{-1}\)), (1600–2400 cm\(^{-1}\)), and (2400–3300 cm\(^{-1}\)). The integrated absorbance, [\(A\)], given by Eq. (2) was calculated for each spectral region. The integrated absorbance values for each region were then plotted against the burden [\(PL\)]. A linear regression line with zero intercept was fit to each region. The results are presented in Fig. 4. The fits for each of the regions had a correlation coefficient of \(R \approx 0.99\). The linear behavior of the integrated absorbance for each spectral region indicates that the intensities did not approach saturation and thus the Beer–Lambert law was applicable. The slope of the fitted line is the band strength, \(S_{\text{band}}\), and is reported for each of the spectral regions in Table 3.

The absorption cross section data of propionaldehyde obtained in this study has a higher wavenumber resolution than the PNNL database. The PNNL data was recorded at 0.112 cm\(^{-1}\), whereas the current study results were recorded at 0.08 cm\(^{-1}\) within 750–1900 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 0.096 cm\(^{-1}\) within 1900–3300 cm\(^{-1}\). Therefore, a comparison approach as described in Es-sebar et al. [35] was used, in which the integrated IR cross sections \(\int \sigma_v \, dv\) of propionaldehyde, rather than the absorption cross section at each wavenumber, were calculated and compared between the current study results and PNNL database. The comparison results are also outlined in Table 3. There was a very good agreement between the current experimental data results and PNNL database, the maximum deviation being 1.96% for the 1300–1600 cm\(^{-1}\) region. The difference between the two measurements decreased as the wavenumber is increased and was only 0.25% in the 2400–3300 cm\(^{-1}\) region.

4.3. Uncertainty

Based on Eqs. (1)–(3) there is uncertainty in the calculation of absorption cross section \(\left[\sigma_v\right]\), band strength \([S_{\text{band}}]\), and integrated intensity \(\left[\int \sigma_v \, dv\right]\) due to the errors
in the measurements of pressure \([P]\), temperature \([T]\), and absorbance \([\alpha_c]\). The uncertainty of the pressure measurement resulted from the Baratron pressure gauge, which has an accuracy of 0.05%, as well as from the variation in the pressure of the sample cell due to the adsorption of molecules on the cell walls. The room temperature measurement had an uncertainty of \(\pm 0.5\) °C. The reference (vacuum) spectra were collected before and after the sample measurements to account for the drift of the signal.

Table 4 shows the results of the uncertainty analysis for different wavenumber regions of the spectra. The background measurement drift was the main source of error that contributed to the uncertainty of the absorbance. The maximum uncertainty in the cross section was estimated to be \(\pm 9.15\)%, which occurred for lower wavenumber regions (750–1300 cm\(^{-1}\)) where the absorption of the propionaldehyde was very small. The maximum uncertainty in the other wavenumber regions was smaller. Note that the uncertainty varies by wavenumber; therefore, the resulting maximum uncertainty in the band strength and integrated intensity are weighted averages of the uncertainties in the cross section calculation.

5. Conclusions

The current study used a high-resolution Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometer to measure the IR absorption cross-sections of propionaldehyde at room temperature (295 K) and at spectral resolutions of 0.08 and 0.096 cm\(^{-1}\) within the spectral regions of 750–1900 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1900–3300 cm\(^{-1}\), respectively. The absorption spectra as well as the fundamental line positions were measured. The band strengths were reported for various spectral regions and the integrated band intensities were compared with PNNL database, which were recorded at a lower resolution of 0.112 cm\(^{-1}\). There was a very good agreement between the current experimental data results and PNNL database, the maximum deviation being 1.9645% for the 1300–1600 cm\(^{-1}\) region. The difference between the two measurements increased as the wavenumber is increased and was only 0.25% in the 2400–3300 cm\(^{-1}\) region. Since the spectral lines were better resolved, the rovibrational Q transitions had higher absorption cross sections in the present study than those given by PNNL database. This study will aid in the development of quantitative absorption detection schemes for the concentration measurements of propionaldehyde, a major biofuel combustion intermediate which is also exhausted, and the results can be readily incorporated in atmospheric models.
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