Tunable excitation of two-dimensional plasmon modes in InGaAs/InP HEMT devices for terahertz detection
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ABSTRACT

THz electromagnetic waves resonantly excite plasmons in the two dimensional electron gas (2DEG) of high electron mobility transistors (HEMTs) via grating-gate couplers. These excitations can induce measureable photoresponse. Biasing the grating gate tunes the photoresponse via control of 2DEG carrier density. Plasmons are investigated here in an InGaAs/InP HEMT with a 9 µm period grating gate at 78 and 106 GHz free-space radiation and 4K sample temperature. The dependence of the photoresponse on applied Source-Drain bias is also investigated. The minimum noise equivalent power (NEP) is estimated to be 113 pW/Hz 1/2, with maximum responsivity of 200 V/W. Such plasmonic alterations in channel conductance provide a means for voltage-tunable THz and sub-THz detectors or filters.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The THz regime has numerous applications that range from chip-scale optoelectronic spectrometers to airborne multispectral detection and associated IR countermeasures. This frequency range, on the other hand, has been technologically challenged by a dearth of adequate detectors and sources, the so called “THz Gap”, despite considerable development effort in recent years. As outlined in [1], plasmonic devices based on 2DEGs in GaAs-based FETs [1-11], GaN HEMTs [12], InGaAs/InP HEMTs [13-19], MOSFETs [20-21], and Graphene [22] are promising THz detector candidates. They combine an ability to confine THz free-space radiation to subwavelength structures (~λ/100) with an ability to bridge between microwave electronics and infrared photonics. In particular, GaAs-based HEMTs have been developed for THz resonant detection [2-7], field enhancement [11], and mixers [9-10]. In these devices, coupling structure geometries have progressed from simple lamellar gratings [2-4] to split gratings [5], nano-antennas, and hybrid antenna-grating structures [1, 6-8].

Technological developments are comparatively less well advanced in the InGaAs/InP materials system [13,14], which nevertheless merits attention due to its potentially ~1-2 orders of magnitude higher sheet charge density and lower electron effective mass. These features would enable functionality at higher THz frequencies than GaAs-based devices. Furthermore, lattice matched growth of InGaAs/InAlAs heterostructures on InP substrates enables integration with other established InP-based photonics and fast electro-optic integrated circuits. In this paper, we present new data on grating-gated InGaAs/InP HEMT plasmonic photoresponse, especially its dependence on Source-Drain bias.

2. THEORY

The dispersion relation for 2D-plasmons for zero Source-Drain bias is given by [23]

$$\omega_n^2 = \frac{e^2 n_s q_n}{m \varepsilon_0} \left[ \varepsilon_b + \varepsilon_r \coth(q_n d) \right]^{-1},$$

(1)
The allowed plasmon wavevector, $q_n$, takes discrete values $2\pi n/a$, and accounts for the momentum mismatch between plasma waves and free-space radiation.

If the top gate is close enough to the 2DEG layer ($q_n d \ll 1$), the dispersion relation reduces to

$$\omega_n^2 = \frac{e^2 n_s d}{m^* \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_t} q_n.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Eq. 2 is the most well-known 2DEG plasmon dispersion relationship which is relevant the device of this work, with $q_n d \approx 0.02$. In an unbiased device ($V_{SD} \approx 0 \text{ V}$) the left and right propagating plasmons are symmetrical and the superposition of the two will result in the formation of 2D-plasmon standing waves. A DC bias $V_{SD}$ applied between source and drain causes electron drift with velocity $v_0$, breaking the symmetry and drastically changing the plasmonic photoresponse. Then the dispersion for the two different plasmonic modes when $q_n d \ll 1$ becomes [24]

$$\gamma_{1,2} = \alpha_{1,2} + j\beta_{1,2} = \left(\frac{v_0}{\tau} + 2 j \nu_0 \omega\right) \pm \sqrt{\Delta},$$

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{v_0^2}{\tau^2} - 16 A d \omega^2 + 16 j \frac{A d \omega}{\tau},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\gamma$ is the complex plasmon wavevector, $\tau$ is the relaxation time, $A = n_s e^2/4\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_t m^*$, and $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are attenuation and phase constants, respectively. For a resonant, unbiased device ($\omega \tau > 1$ and $v_0 \approx 0 \text{ m/s}$) Eq. 3 reduces to Eq. 2 for a grating coupler with the real wavevector of $\beta = q_n = 2\pi n/a$.

Sheet charge density, $n_s$, is required to calculate the frequencies of different order plasmon modes as well as to calculate the absorption spectrum. The $n_s$ is derived from the applied gate bias and temperature dependence carrier concentration in the absence of the quantum well, $n_d$, by [13]

$$n_s = \frac{\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_0}{e d} \left( V_g - \phi_b + E_c + \frac{e n_d d}{\varepsilon_t \varepsilon_0} \right),$$ \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $V_g$ is the applied gate bias, $\phi_b = 0.7 \text{ eV}$ is the Schottky barrier height for the metal/Group III-V contacts, and $E_c$ is the conduction band offset calculated to be 0.675 eV for the device of this work following the method in [13, 25].

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The double quantum well (DQW) InGaAs/InP HEMT structure was identical to that in [14, 16-17], and the grating gate had 9 µm period with 22% duty cycle. The layer structure is presented in figure 1.
The $n_d$ and $\tau$ values were determined from 4 K Source-Drain I-V curves according to Ref. [13]. Relaxation time $\tau$ at 4 K was determined to be 0.18 ps and $n_d$ to be $1.55 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, respectively. While, the $n_d$ derived here agrees with the previously reported value [14], relaxation time is half what we determined before. This might be attributed to aging of the sample and degradation of the Ohmic contacts of the device.

For I-V and photoresponse measurements, the sample was mounted inside a closed cycle cryostat and maintained at 4 K. Radiation at 78 or 106 GHz was generated by a backward wave oscillator (BWO). The experimental setup was modified from that of Ref [14] to reduce standing wave interferences and polarization scrambling by conducting the beam into cryostat vacuum via a mylar-sealed rectangular waveguide. In this way, the output of the polarization-preserving horn was placed just 7 mm above the sample with no intermediary window.

The amplitude of the radiation power was modulated electronically at 512 Hz. A crystal detector connected via a 10 dB directional coupler monitored the modulated power. The output signal of the crystal detector was high-pass filtered and amplified to serve as the lock-in reference. Constant voltages of 0, 0.25, or 0.5 V were applied to the source while the drain was connected to ground via a 100 $\Omega$ load. The gate-bias ($V_g$) was stepped from -0.5 to 0.8 V with respect to ground in increments of 10 mV. The voltage across the load resistor was input to the lock-in for synchronous amplification of the photoresponse.

4. RESULTS

The low-frequency portions of calculated plasmon-resonance-band spectra (following [26-27]) are presented in figure 2 for $V_{SD} = 0$ V as a function of $V_g$. At these low frequencies, fundamentals and harmonics have merged and the resonance bands are broad. The peak positions shift from 295 to 550 GHz with increasing $V_g$ due to the increase in $n_d$, in agreement with Eq. 1. The vertical dashed lines mark the two excitation frequencies of 78 and 106 GHz, which lie within the plasmon resonance absorption bandwidth. These frequencies happen to be in the range where the absorption spectra at different $V_g$ values, experience drastic slope changes.

![Fig. 1. MBE grown epilayer structure used for fabrication of the HEMT](image_url)
Fig. 2. Calculated absorption spectra of the device at different $V_g$. Two measurement frequencies are marked by vertical dashed lines.

The measured photoresponse of the unbiased device is presented in figure 3 as a function of $V_g$ for the two excitation frequencies. Data for two different polarizations with E-field perpendicular or parallel to the grating bars are presented. The response for parallel polarization is expected to be zero since such should fail to polarize the grating and produce plasmon-exciting local fields, and indeed the response for parallel polarization at 78 GHz is 6x weaker than for perpendicular polarization. The parallel response can be explained by a misalignment of as little as 5 deg or by scattering off metal parts [14]. We note that the polarization dependence with the new setup is now much stronger that previously reported [14, 16-18]. For comparison, the calculated absorption at 78 and 106 GHz are also plotted as a function of $V_g$ in Fig. 3 on the right vertical axis. The absorption curves peak at $V_g$ values of 0.22 and 0.5 V for 78 and 106 GHz radiation, respectively.

The measured photoresponse agrees qualitatively with the calculations in a number of significant ways. The overall photoresponse to 106 GHz radiation is stronger and broader than the response at 78 GHz, and its maximum occurs at a more positive $V_g$ value. The peak separation for the two frequencies is also about the same for theory and experiment ($\Delta V_g \sim 0.35$ V). The $V_g$ values for the measured peaks are lower than the calculated values by about 0.30-0.35 V. However, a better agreement is found by increasing the value of $\tau$ from 0.18 to 0.25 ps in the calculations. This difference is within the likely uncertainty [13] and is smaller than the factor of 2 difference between $\tau$ values determined here and previously [14]. Also note that the absorption values vary by only 5% while the photoresponse varies by 88%, suggesting a non-linear response. Such differences between photoresponse and absorption have been observed previously and in other systems, while the plasmonic absorption in group III-V heterostructures are ~ 4-5% at resonance, a resonant photoresponse of 9-times stronger than the baseline is reported for GaAs-devices [2]. Another observation is that the measured photoresponse peaks are sharper than the theoretical ones, which can also result from a non-linearity.
Fig. 3. Calculated absorption of the device along with the measured photoresponse as a function of the applied $V_g$ at free-space frequencies of 78 and 106 GHz. Photoresponse is measured at two different polarizations as indicated by the legend.

Figure 4 presents the measured photoresponse at 78 GHz for different $V_{SD}$ values. The maximum photoresponse decreases almost three-fold when positive $V_{SD}$ is applied. While the unbiased case shows a single peak, indicated by a symbol, two peaks appear with applied $V_{SD}$. The second peak seems to move toward lower gate biases with increasing $V_{SD}$. The 1st peak apparently has the opposite $V_{SD}$ dependence. The existence of two peaks for finite $V_{SD}$ is evidence of a change in the plasmonic behavior of the cavity, in qualitative agreement with the existence of two branches in Eq. 3.

The NEP of the detector is extracted as a function of $V_g$ from the measured photoresponse and is plotted in figure 5 for the two measurement frequencies. In these calculations, $NEP = \frac{P}{(SNR \times \Delta f)^{1/2}}$, where $P$ is the radiation power at the device area, $SNR = \frac{Photoresponse (V_g)}{Noise}$ and is the signal to noise ratio, and $\Delta f$ is the measurement bandwidth taken as 0.05 Hz from 10 s lock-in time constant. $P$ is calculated by assuming that the measured 12.2 mW radiation power at the input to the horn is uniformly distributed over its 695 mm$^2$ aperture, giving an intensity of 17.5 µW/mm$^2$. We assume that diffraction and scattering may reduce the actual intensity ten-fold at the sample. The total power incident on the 195 µm × 250 µm channel is then about 85 nW. The noise was determined to be ~ 5 nV by blocking the radiation and recording the lock-in output.
From Fig. 5, the minimum NEP value is determined to be 113 pW/Hz$^{1/2}$ and is measured at the peak of the response to the 106 GHz radiation. This is comparable to the previously reported values in [14, 18]. The smaller value reported for InGaAs/InP based detectors at room temperature by [19] at 1 THz radiation can be partly attributed to the higher measurement frequency (higher $\omega \tau$) and more efficient collection with a narrow band antenna. The peak responsivity of our detector is calculated from the estimated incident power at the device and its peak photoresponse to be 200 V/W which is approximately equal to the value reported in [14].

5. SUMMARY

In summary, we presented gate-bias tunable electrical photoresponse to sub-THz radiation in InGaAs/InP HEMTs at two frequencies of 78 and 106 GHz which are well within the plasmon resonance bandwidth. The photoresponse was measured for both unbiased ($V_{SD} \sim 0$ V) and biased ($V_{SD} > 0$ V) configurations. We attribute the measured photoresponse to excitation of plasmons in the 2DEG and transduction of that absorption to a change in channel conductance, because results agree with theoretical predictions in a number of significant ways. Parameters such as responsivity and NEP were estimated and compared to devices fabricated in the same materials system. Interpretation of the results presented here remains a work in progress. Such a device may find application as a chip-scale tunable sub-THz detector, which can potentially be scaled to higher THz frequencies when a grating-gate coupler with smaller period is used.
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